arXiv:0809.1361v2 [math-ph] 15 May 2009

Invariance and first integrals of canonical Hamiltonian equations

Vladimir Dorodnitsyn* and Roman Kozlov‡

* Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics, Russian Academy of Science,
Miusskaya Pl. 4, Moscow, 125047, Russia;
E-mail address: dorod@spp.Keldysh.ru
‡ Department of Mathematics, University of Bergen,
Johannes Brunsgate 12, 5008 Bergen, Norway;
E-mail address: Roman.Kozlov@math.uib.no

15.05.2009

Abstract

In this paper we consider the relation between symmetries and first integrals of canonical Hamiltonian equations. Based on a newly established identity (which is an analog of well–known Noether's identity for Lagrangian framework), this approach provides a simple and clear way to construct first integrals with the help of symmetries of a Hamiltonian. The approach is illustrated by a number of examples, including equations of the three-dimensional Kepler motion.

1 Introduction

It has been known since E. Noether's fundamental work that conservation laws of differential equations are connected with their symmetry properties [1]. For convenience we present here some well–known results (see also, for example, [2, 3, 4]) for both Lagrangian and Hamiltonian approaches to conservation laws (first integrals).

Let us consider the functional

$$\mathbb{L}(u) = \int_{\Omega} L(x, u, u_1) dx, \tag{1.1}$$

where $x = (x^1, x^2, ..., x^m)$ are independent variables, $u = (u^1, u^2, ..., u^n)$ are dependent variables, $u_1 = (u_i^k)$ are all first order derivatives $u_i^k = \frac{\partial u^k}{\partial x^i}$, Ω is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $L(x, u, u_1)$ is *a first order* Lagrangian. The functional (1.1) achieves its extremal values when $u(x)$ satisfies the Euler–Lagrange equations

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta u^k} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial u^k} - D_i\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial u_i^k}\right) = 0, \qquad k = 1, ..., n, \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$D_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + u_i^k \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} + u_{ji}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j^k} + \cdots, \qquad i = 1, ..., m$$

are total differentiation operators with respect to independent variables x^i. Here and below we assume summation over repeated indexes. Note that equations (1.2) are second order PDEs.

We consider a Lie point transformation group G generated by the infinitesimal operator

$$X = \xi^i(x, u)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \eta^k(x, u)\frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} + ..., \tag{1.3}$$

where dots mean an appropriate prolongation of the operator on partial derivatives [5, 6, 7, 8]. The group G is called a variational symmetry of the functional $\mathbb{L}(u)$ if and only if the Lagrangian satisfies [1]

$$X(L) + LD_i(\xi^i) = 0, \tag{1.4}$$

where X is the first prolongation, i.e. the prolongation of the vector field X on the first derivatives u_i^k. We will actually need a weaker invariance condition than given by Eq. (1.4). The vector field X is a divergence symmetry of the functional $\mathbb{L}(u)$ if there exists vector functions $V^i(x, u, u_1)$, $i = 1, ..., m$ such that [9] (see also [6,7])

$$X(L) + LD_i(\xi^i) = D_i(V^i). \tag{1.5}$$

An important result for us is the following: If X is a variational symmetry of the functional $\mathbb{L}(u)$, it is also a symmetry of the corresponding Euler–Lagrange equation. The symmetry group of Eqs. (1.2) can of course be larger than the group generated by variational and divergence symmetries of the Lagrangian.

Noether's theorem [1] states that for a Lagrangian satisfying the condition (1.4) there exists a conservation law of the Euler–Lagrange equations (1.2):

$$D_i\left(\xi^i L + (\eta^k - \xi^j u_j^k)\frac{\partial L}{\partial u_i^k}\right) = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

This result can be generalized: If X is a divergence symmetry of the functional $\mathbb{L}(u)$, i.e. equation (1.5) is satisfied, then there exists a conservation law

$$D_i\left(\xi^i L + (\eta^k - \xi^j u_j^k)\frac{\partial L}{\partial u_i^k} - V^i\right) = 0 \tag{1.7}$$

of the corresponding Euler–Lagrange equations.

The strong version of the Noether's theorem [7] states that there exists a conservation law of the Euler–Lagrange Eqs. (1.2) in the form (1.6) if and only if the condition (1.4) is satisfied on the solutions of Eqs. (1.2).

In the present paper we are interested in canonical Hamiltonian equations

$$\dot{q}^i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}, \qquad \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}, \qquad i = 1, ..., n. \tag{1.8}$$

These equations can be obtained by the variational principle from the action functional

$$\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \big(p_i \dot{q}^i - H(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})\big)\, dt = 0 \tag{1.9}$$

in the phase space $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$, where $\mathbf{q} = (q^1, q^2, ..., q^n)$, $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)$ (see, for example, [10, 11]). Variations δq^i and δp_i are arbitrary and satisfy $\delta q^i(t_1) = \delta q^i(t_2) = 0$, $i = 1, ..., n$. Then, we have

$$\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \big(p_i \dot{q}^i - H(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})\big)\, dt = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\delta p_i \dot{q}^i + p_i \delta \dot{q}^i - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} \delta q^i - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \delta p_i \right) dt$$

$$= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\left(\dot{q}^i - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \right) \delta p_i - \left(\dot{p}_i + \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} \right) \delta q^i \right] dt + \big[p_i \delta q^i \big]_{t_1}^{t_2}.$$

The last term vanishes because $\delta q^i = 0$ at the endpoints. Since variations δq^i and δp_i are arbitrary, the variational principle (1.9) is equivalent to the canonical Hamiltonian equations (1.8).

Let us note that the canonical Hamiltonian equations (1.8) can be obtained by action of the variational operators

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta p_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} - D \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{p}_i}, \qquad i = 1, ..., n \tag{1.10}$$

and

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta q^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} - D \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^i}, \qquad i = 1, ..., n, \tag{1.11}$$

where

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dot{q}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \dot{p}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + ... \tag{1.12}$$

is the operator of total differentiation with respect to time, on the function

$$p_i \dot{q}^i - H(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}).$$

The Legendre transformation relates Hamiltonian and Lagrange functions

$$L(t, \mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}) = p_i \dot{q}^i - H(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}), \tag{1.13}$$

where $\mathbf{p} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{q}}}, \dot{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{p}}$. It makes it possible to establish the equivalence of the Euler–Lagrange and Hamiltonian equations [4]. Indeed, from Euler–Lagrange equations for one independent variable ($m = 1$)

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta q^k} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^k} - D\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^k}\right) = 0, \qquad k = 1, ..., n \tag{1.14}$$

we can obtain the canonical Hamiltonian equations (1.8) using the Legendre transformation. It should be noticed that the Legendre transformation is not point. Hence, there is no conservation of Lie group properties of the corresponding Euler–Lagrange equations and Hamiltonian equations within the class of point transformations.

Lie point symmetries in the space $(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ are generated by operators of the form

$$X = \xi(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \eta^i(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \zeta_i(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}. \tag{1.15}$$

Standard approach to symmetry properties of the Hamiltonian equations is to consider so called *Hamiltonian symmetries* [6]. In the case of canonical Hamiltonian equations these are the evolutionary ($\xi = 0$) symmetries (1.15)

$$X = \eta^i(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \zeta_i(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \tag{1.16}$$

with

$$\eta^i = \frac{\partial I}{\partial p_i}, \qquad \zeta_i = -\frac{\partial I}{\partial q^i}, \qquad i = 1, ..., n \tag{1.17}$$

for some function $I(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$, namely, symmetries of the form

$$X_I = \frac{\partial I}{\partial p_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\partial I}{\partial q^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}. \tag{1.18}$$

These symmetries are restricted to the phase space $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ and are generated by the function $I = I(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$. For symmetry (1.18) the independent variable t is invariant and plays a role of a parameter.

Noether's theorem (Theorem 6.33 in [6]) relates Hamiltonian symmetries of the Hamiltonian equations with their first integrals. Restricted to the case of the canonical Hamiltonian equations it can be formulated as follows:

Proposition. *An evolutionary vector field X of the form (1.16) generates a Hamiltonian symmetry group of the canonical Hamiltonian system (1.8) if and only if there exists a first integral $I(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ so that $X = X_I$ is the corresponding Hamiltonian vector field. Another function $\tilde{I}(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ determines the same Hamiltonian symmetry if and only if $\tilde{I} = I + F(t)$ for some time-dependent function $F(t)$.*

Indeed, the invariance of the canonical Hamiltonian equations (1.8) with respect to the symmetry (1.18) leads to

$$\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial t \partial p_i} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j}\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial q^j \partial p_i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^j}\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial p_j \partial p_i} = \frac{\partial I}{\partial p_j}\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial q^j \partial p_i} - \frac{\partial I}{\partial q^j}\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_j \partial p_i}, \qquad i = 1, ..., n,$$

$$-\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial t \partial q^i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j}\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial q^j \partial q^i} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^j}\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial p_j \partial q^i} = -\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_j}\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial q^j \partial q^i} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial q^j}\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_j \partial q^i}, \qquad i = 1, ..., n.$$

These equations can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j}\frac{\partial I}{\partial q^j} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^j}\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_j}\right) = 0, \qquad i = 1, ..., n,$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}\left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j}\frac{\partial I}{\partial q^j} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^j}\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_j}\right) = 0, \qquad i = 1, ..., n.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j}\frac{\partial I}{\partial q^j} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^j}\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_j} = f(t).$$

The left hand side stands for the total time derivative of I on the solutions of the canonical Hamiltonian equations:

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j}\frac{\partial I}{\partial q^j} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^j}\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_j} = D(I)\big|_{\dot{\mathbf{q}}=H_{\mathbf{p}},\ \dot{\mathbf{p}}=-H_{\mathbf{q}}}.$$

Thus, we obtain that the Hamiltonian symmetry determines a first integral of the canonical Hamiltonian equations up to some time-dependent function, which can be found with the help of these equations. This approach has two disadvantages. First, some transformations loose their geometrical sense if considered in evolutionary form (1.18). Second, there is a necessity of integration to find first integrals with the help of (1.17). In this approach it is also not clear why some point symmetries of Hamiltonian equations yield integrals, while others do not.

In the present paper we will consider symmetries of the general form (1.15), which are not restricted to the phase space and can also transform t. In contrast to the Hamiltonian symmetries in the form (1.18) the underlying symmetries have a clear geometric sense in finite space and do not require integration to find first integrals. We will provide a Hamiltonian version of the Noether's theorem (in the strong formulation) based on a newly established Hamiltonian identity, which is an analog of well-known Noether's identity for Lagrangian approach. The Hamiltonian identity links directly an invariant Hamiltonian function with first integrals of the canonical Hamiltonian equations. This approach provides a simple and clear way to construct first integrals by means of merely algebraic manipulations with symmetries of the action functional. The approach will be illustrated on a number of examples, including equations of the three-dimensional Kepler motion.

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we introduce a definition of an *invariant Hamiltonian* and establish the necessary and sufficient condition for H to be invariant. Section 3 contains the main propositions of present paper: Lemma 1 introduces a new identity, which is used in Theorem 2 to formulate the necessary and sufficient condition for existence of first integrals of Hamiltonian equations (Hamiltonian version of Noether's theorem in the strong formulation). In section 4 Lemma 2 introduces two more identities, which are used in Theorem 3 to formulate a sufficient condition for the canonical Hamiltonian equations to be invariant. Section 5 contains example ODEs in the form of Euler–Lagrange equations and Hamiltonian systems. In particular, we consider the three-dimensional Kepler motion. Final section 6 contains concluding remarks.

2 Invariance of elementary Hamiltonian action

As an analog of Lagrangian elementary action [7] we consider *Hamiltonian elementary action*

$$p_i dq^i - H dt, \tag{2.1}$$

which can be invariant or not with respect to a group generated by an operator of the form (1.15).

Definition. We call a Hamiltonian function invariant with respect to a symmetry (1.15) if the elementary action (2.1) is an invariant of the group generated by the operator (1.15).

Theorem 1. A Hamiltonian is invariant with respect to a group with the operator (1.15) if and only if the following condition holds

$$\zeta_i \dot{q}^i + p_i D(\eta^i) - X(H) - HD(\xi) = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. The invariance condition follows directly from the action of X prolonged on the differentials dt and dq^i, $i = 1, ..., n$:

$$X = \xi(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \eta^i(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \zeta_i(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + D(\xi)dt\frac{\partial}{\partial(dt)} + D(\eta^i)dt\frac{\partial}{\partial(dq^i)}. \tag{2.3}$$

Application of (2.3) yields:

$$X\left(p_i dq^i - H dt\right) = \left(\zeta_i \dot{q}^i + p_i D(\eta^i) - X(H) - HD(\xi)\right) dt = 0.$$

□

Remark 1. From the relation

$$L(t, \mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}})dt = p_i dq^i - H(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})dt \tag{2.4}$$

it follows that if a Lagrangian is invariant with respect to a group of Lie point transformations, then the Hamiltonian is also invariant with respect to the same group (of point transformations). The converse statement is false. For example, symmetries providing components of Runge–Lenz vector as first integrals of Kepler motion are point symmetries in Hamiltonian framework (point 5.3). However, they are generalized symmetries in Lagrangian framework [6].

The proof follows from the action of operator (1.15) on relation (2.4).

Remark 2. The operator of total differentiation (1.12) applied to Hamiltonian H on the solutions of Hamiltonian equations (1.8) coincides with partial differentiation with respect to time:

$$D(H)|_{\dot{\mathbf{q}}=H_{\mathbf{p}},\ \dot{\mathbf{p}}=-H_{\mathbf{q}}} = \left[\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \dot{q}^i\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} + \dot{p}_i\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}\right]_{\dot{\mathbf{q}}=H_{\mathbf{p}},\ \dot{\mathbf{p}}=-H_{\mathbf{q}}} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}. \tag{2.5}$$

Remark 3. The condition (2.2) means that $(p_i dq^i - Hdt)$ is an invariant in space $(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q},d\mathbf{q},dt)$. Meanwhile, this condition can be obtained as an invariance condition of the *manifold*

$$h = p_i\dot{q}^i - H \tag{2.6}$$

under the action of operator (1.15) which is specially prolonged on the new variable h in the following way:

$$X = \xi(t,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \eta^i(t,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \zeta_i(t,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} - hD(\xi)\frac{\partial}{\partial h}. \tag{2.7}$$

Indeed, application of operator (2.7) to (2.6) yields

$$-hD(\xi) = \zeta_i\dot{q}^i + p_i(D(\eta^i) - \dot{q}_i D(\xi)) - X(H). \tag{2.8}$$

Then, substitution of h from (2.6) gives the condition (2.2).

3 The Hamiltonian identity and Noether–type theorem

Now we can relate conservation properties of the canonical Hamiltonian equations to the invariance of the Hamiltonian function.

Lemma 1. The identity

$$\begin{gathered}\zeta_i\dot{q}^i + p_i D(\eta^i) - X(H) - HD(\xi) = \xi\left(D(H) - \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}\right)\\ -\eta^i\left(\dot{p}_i + \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}\right) + \zeta_i\left(\dot{q}^i - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}\right) + D\left[p_i\eta^i - \xi H\right]\end{gathered} \tag{3.1}$$

is true for any smooth function $H = H(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$.

Proof. The identity can be established by direct calculation. □

We call this identity the *Hamiltonian identity.* This identity makes it possible to develop the following result.

Theorem 2. The canonical Hamiltonian equations (1.8) possess a first integral of the form

$$I = p_i \eta^i - \xi H \tag{3.2}$$

if and only if the Hamiltonian function is invariant with respect to operator (1.15) on the solutions of canonical equations (1.8).

Proof. The result follows from identity (3.1). □

Theorem 2 corresponds to the strong version of the Noether theorem (i.e. necessary and sufficient condition) for invariant Lagrangians and Euler–Lagrange equations [7].

Remark. Theorem 2 can be generalized on the case of the divergence invariance of the Hamiltonian action

$$\zeta_i \dot{q}^i + p_i D(\eta^i) - X(H) - HD(\xi) = D(V), \tag{3.3}$$

where $V = V(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$. If this condition holds on the solutions of the canonical Hamiltonian equations (1.8), then there is a first integral

$$I = p_i \eta^i - \xi H - V. \tag{3.4}$$

4 Invariance of canonical Hamiltonian equations

In the Lagrangian framework, the variational principle provides us with Euler–Lagrange equations. It is known that the invariance of the Euler–Lagrange equations follows from the invariance of the action integral. The following Lemma 2 and Theorem 3 establish the sufficient conditions for canonical Hamiltonian equations to be invariant.

Lemma 2. The following identities are true for any smooth function $H = H(t, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$:

$$\begin{gathered}
\frac{\delta}{\delta p_j} \left(\zeta_i \dot{q}^i + p_i D(\eta^i) - X(H) - HD(\xi) \right) \\
\equiv D(\eta^j) - \dot{q}^j D(\xi) - X\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j} \right) + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial p_j} \left(D(H) - \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right) \qquad (4.1) \\
- \frac{\partial \eta^i}{\partial p_j} \left(\dot{p}_i + \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial \zeta_i}{\partial p_j} + \delta_{ij} D(\xi) \right) \left(\dot{q}^i - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \right), \qquad j = 1, ..., n,
\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\delta}{\delta q^j}\left(\zeta_i \dot{q}^i + p_i D(\eta^i) - X(H) - HD(\xi)\right)\\ &\equiv -D(\zeta_j) + \dot{p}_j D(\xi) - X\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_j}\right) + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial q^j}\left(D(H) - \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}\right)\\ &-\left(\frac{\partial \eta^i}{\partial q^j} + \delta_{ij} D(\xi)\right)\left(\dot{p}_i + \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}\right) + \frac{\partial \zeta_i}{\partial q^j}\left(\dot{q}^i - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}\right), \qquad j = 1, ..., n,\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where the notation δ_{ij} stands for the Kronecker symbol.

Proof. The identities can be easily obtained by direct computation. □

Theorem 3. If a Hamiltonian is invariant with respect to a symmetry (1.15), then Eqs. (1.8) are also invariant.

Proof. We begin with the invariance of the canonical Hamiltonian equations (1.8). Application of the symmetry operator to these equations yields

$$D(\eta^j) - \dot{q}^j D(\xi) - X\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j}\right) = 0, \qquad j = 1, ..., n; \tag{4.3}$$

$$D(\zeta_j) - \dot{p}_j D(\xi) + X\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^j}\right) = 0, \qquad j = 1, ..., n. \tag{4.4}$$

Both conditions obtained should be true on the solutions of Eqs. (1.8).

Let Hamiltonian be invariant, then all left hand sides of identities (4.1) and (4.2) are equal to zero on the solutions of Eqs. (1.8). All right hand sides of (4.1) and (4.2) are also equal zero. Substituting equations (1.8) into the right hand sides of (4.1) and (4.2), we obtain the invariance conditions (4.3),(4.4). □

Remark. The statement of Theorem 3 remains valid if we consider divergence symmetries of the Hamiltonian, i.e. condition (3.3), because the right hand side term $D(V)$ belongs to the kernel of the variational operators (1.10),(1.11).

The invariance of the Hamiltonian on equations (1.8) is a *sufficient condition* for the canonical Hamiltonian equations to be invariant. The following Theorem 4 establishes the *necessary and sufficient* condition for canonical Hamiltonian equations to be invariant.

Theorem 4. Canonical Hamiltonian equations (1.8) are invariant with respect to a symmetry (1.15) if and only if the following conditions are true (on the solutions of the canonical Hamiltonian equations):

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\delta}{\delta p_j}\left(\zeta_i \dot{q}^i + p_i D(\eta^i) - X(H) - HD(\xi)\right)\Big|_{\dot{\mathbf{q}}=H_{\mathbf{p}},\ \dot{\mathbf{p}}=-H_{\mathbf{q}}} = 0, \qquad j = 1, ..., n;\\ &\frac{\delta}{\delta q^j}\left(\zeta_i \dot{q}^i + p_i D(\eta^i) - X(H) - HD(\xi)\right)\Big|_{\dot{\mathbf{q}}=H_{\mathbf{p}},\ \dot{\mathbf{p}}=-H_{\mathbf{q}}} = 0, \qquad j = 1, ..., n.\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. The proof follows from identities (4.1) and (4.2). □

It should be noted that conditions (4.5) are true for all symmetries of canonical Hamiltonian equations. But not all of those symmetries yield the "variational integral" of these conditions, i.e.

$$\left(\zeta_i \dot{q}^i + p_i D(\eta^i) - X(H) - HD(\xi)\right)|_{\dot{\mathbf{q}}=H_{\mathbf{p}},\ \dot{\mathbf{p}}=-H_{\mathbf{q}}} = 0,$$

which gives first integrals due to Theorem 2. That is why not all symmetries of the canonical Hamiltonian equations provide first integrals. We will illustrate all theorems, given above, on the following examples.

5 Applications

In this section we provide examples how to find first integrals using symmetries.

5.1 Example 1. A scalar ODE.

As the first example we consider the following second-order ODE

$$\ddot{u} = \frac{1}{u^3}, \tag{5.1}$$

which admits Lie algebra L_3 with basis operators

$$X_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad X_2 = 2t\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad X_3 = t^2\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + tu\frac{\partial}{\partial u}. \tag{5.2}$$

5.1.1 Lagrangian approach

The Lagrangian function

$$L(t, u, \dot{u}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{u}^2 - \frac{1}{u^2}\right) \tag{5.3}$$

is invariant with respect to X_1, X_2. Therefore, by means of Noether's theorem there exist the following first integrals

$$J_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{u}^2 + \frac{1}{u^2}\right), \qquad J_2 = u\dot{u} - t\left(\dot{u}^2 + \frac{1}{u^2}\right). \tag{5.4}$$

The action of the third operator X_3 yields a divergence invariance condition

$$XL + LD(\xi) = u\dot{u} = D\left(\frac{u^2}{2}\right). \tag{5.5}$$

Due to the divergence invariance of the Lagrangian we can find the following first integral

$$J_3 = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t^2}{u^2} + (u - t\dot{u})^2\right). \tag{5.6}$$

Alternatively, one can find the last integral from another Lagrangian function

$$\tilde{L}(t, u, \dot{u}) = \left(\frac{u}{t} - \dot{u}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{u^2}, \tag{5.7}$$

which is exactly invariant with respect to X_3.

It should be mentioned that independence of first integrals obtained with the help of the Noether theorem is guarantied only in the case when there is one Lagrangian which is invariant with respect to all symmetries. This condition is broken in the considered example. Therefore, the integrals obtained are not independent. Integrals (5.4),(5.6) are connected by the following relations

$$4J_1J_3 - J_2^2 = 1. \tag{5.8}$$

Thus, any two integrals among (5.4),(5.6) are independent. Putting $J_1 = A/2$, $J_2 = B$, and excluding $\dot{u}$ we find the general solution of equation (5.1) as

$$Au^2 + (At + B)^2 + 1 = 0. \tag{5.9}$$

5.1.2 Hamiltonian framework

Let us transfer the preceding example into the Hamiltonian framework. We change variables

$$q = u, \qquad p = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{u}} = \dot{u}.$$

The corresponding Hamiltonian is

$$H(t, q, p) = \dot{u}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{u}} - L = \frac{1}{2}\left(p^2 + \frac{1}{q^2}\right). \tag{5.10}$$

The Hamiltonian equations

$$\dot{q} = p, \qquad \dot{p} = \frac{1}{q^3} \tag{5.11}$$

admit symmetries

$$X_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \qquad X_2 = 2t\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + q\frac{\partial}{\partial q} - p\frac{\partial}{\partial p}, \qquad X_3 = t^2\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + tq\frac{\partial}{\partial q} + (q - tp)\frac{\partial}{\partial p}. \tag{5.12}$$

We check invariance of H in accordance with Theorem 1 and find that condition (2.2) is satisfied for the operators X_1 and X_2. Then, using Theorem 2, we calculate the corresponding first integrals

$$I_1 = -H = -\frac{1}{2}\left(p^2 + \frac{1}{q^2}\right), \qquad I_2 = pq - t\left(p^2 + \frac{1}{q^2}\right). \tag{5.13}$$

For the third symmetry operator the Hamiltonian is divergence invariant with $V_3 = q^2/2$. In accordance with Remark for Theorem 2 it yields the following conserved quantity

$$I_3 = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t^2}{q^2} + (q - tp)^2\right). \tag{5.14}$$

Note that no integration is needed. As we noted before only two first integrals of a second order ODE can be functionally independent. Putting $I_1 = A/2$ and $I_2 = B$, we find the solution of equations (5.11) as

$$Aq^2 + (At - B)^2 + 1 = 0, \qquad p = \frac{B - At}{q}. \tag{5.15}$$

5.1.3 Evolutionary vector field approach

Let us consider the same example for evolutionary vector fields in the Hamiltonian form (1.18). We rewrite operators (5.12) in the evolutionary form

$$\begin{gathered} \bar{X}_1 = -\dot{q}\frac{\partial}{\partial q} - \dot{p}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}, \quad \bar{X}_2 = (q - 2t\dot{q})\frac{\partial}{\partial q} - (p + 2t\dot{p})\frac{\partial}{\partial p}, \\ \bar{X}_3 = (tq - t^2\dot{q})\frac{\partial}{\partial q} + (q - tp - t^2\dot{p})\frac{\partial}{\partial p}. \end{gathered} \tag{5.16}$$

Transformations which correspond to the symmetries (5.16) are not point. Therefore, Hamiltonian equations (5.11) are invariant with respect to (5.16) if being considered together with their differential consequences. On the solutions of the canonical equations (5.11) these operators are equivalent to the set

$$\begin{gathered} \tilde{X}_1 = -p\frac{\partial}{\partial q} - \frac{1}{q^3}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}, \quad \tilde{X}_2 = (q - 2tp)\frac{\partial}{\partial q} - \left(p + \frac{2t}{q^3}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial p}, \\ \tilde{X}_3 = \left(tq - t^2 p\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial q} + \left(q - tp - \frac{t^2}{q^3}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial p}. \end{gathered} \tag{5.17}$$

One should integrate the equations (1.17) for each operator to find first integrals. Integration provides us with three first integrals given in (5.13),(5.14).

5.2 Example 2. Repulsive one-dimensional motion.

As another example of an ODE we consider one-dimensional motion in the Coulomb field (the case of a repulsive force):

$$\ddot{u} = \frac{1}{u^2}, \tag{5.18}$$

which admits Lie algebra L_2 with basis operators

$$X_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad X_2 = 3t\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 2u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}. \tag{5.19}$$

5.2.1 Lagrangian approach

The Lagrangian function

$$L(t, u, \dot{u}) = \frac{\dot{u}^2}{2} - \frac{1}{u} \tag{5.20}$$

is invariant only with respect to X_1. Therefore, Noether's theorem yields the only first integral

$$J_1 = \frac{\dot{u}^2}{2} + \frac{1}{u}. \tag{5.21}$$

In this case the Euler–Lagrange equation admits two symmetries while the Lagrangian is invariant with respect to one symmetry operator only.

5.2.2 Hamiltonian framework

We change variables

$$q = u, \qquad p = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{u}} = \dot{u}$$

and find the Hamiltonian function

$$H(t, q, p) = \dot{u}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{u}} - L = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{1}{q}. \tag{5.22}$$

The Hamiltonian equations have the form

$$\dot{q} = p, \qquad \dot{p} = \frac{1}{q^2}. \tag{5.23}$$

We rewrite symmetries in the canonical variables as the following algebra L_2

$$X_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad X_2 = 3t\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 2q\frac{\partial}{\partial q} - p\frac{\partial}{\partial p}. \tag{5.24}$$

The invariance of Hamiltonian condition (2.2) is satisfied for the operator X_1 only. Applying Theorem 2, we calculate the corresponding first integral

$$I_1 = -\left(\frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{1}{q}\right). \tag{5.25}$$

Application of operator X_2 to the Hamiltonian action gives

$$\zeta\dot{q} + pD(\eta) - X(H) - HD(\xi) = p\dot{q} - \left(\frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{1}{q}\right) \neq 0. \tag{5.26}$$

Meanwhile, in accordance with Theorem 4 we have

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta p}\left(\zeta\dot{q} + pD(\eta) - X(H) - HD(\xi)\right)\Big|_{\dot{q}=p,\ \dot{p}=\frac{1}{q^2}} = (\dot{q} - p)|_{\dot{q}=p,\ \dot{p}=\frac{1}{q^2}} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta q}\left(\zeta \dot{q} + pD(\eta) - X(H) - HD(\xi)\right)\Big|_{\dot{q}=p,\ \dot{p}=\frac{1}{q^2}} = \left(-\dot{p} + \frac{1}{q^2}\right)\Big|_{\dot{q}=p,\ \dot{p}=\frac{1}{q^2}} = 0.$$

We will show below that there exists a second integral of non-local character.

It was shown in [12] that Eq. (5.18) can be linearized by a contact transformation. For equations (5.23) this transformation is the following

$$p(t) = P(s), \quad Q^2(s) = \frac{2}{q(t)}, \quad dt = -\frac{4}{Q^3}ds. \tag{5.27}$$

The new Hamiltonian

$$H(s,Q,P) = \frac{1}{2}(P^2 + Q^2) \tag{5.28}$$

corresponds to the linear equations

$$\frac{dQ}{ds} = P, \qquad \frac{dP}{ds} = -Q, \tag{5.29}$$

which describe the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator. These equations have two first integrals

$$\tilde{I}_1 = \frac{1}{2}(P^2 + Q^2), \qquad \tilde{I}_2 = \arctan\left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) + s, \tag{5.30}$$

which let us write down the general solution of equations (5.29) as

$$Q = A\sin s + B\cos s, \qquad P = A\cos s - B\sin s, \tag{5.31}$$

where A and B are arbitrary constants. Applying the transformation (5.27) to integral I_2 one can develop the non-local integral for Eqs. (5.23)

$$I_2^* = \arctan\left(\frac{p\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{t_0}^{t}\frac{dt}{q^{3/2}}. \tag{5.32}$$

5.3 Example 3. Three-dimensional Kepler motion

The three-dimensional Kepler motion of a body in Newton's gravitational field is given by the equations

$$\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} = \mathbf{p}, \qquad \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = -\frac{K^2}{r^3}\mathbf{q}, \qquad r = |\mathbf{q}|, \tag{5.33}$$

where $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2, q_3)$, $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$ and K is a constant, with the initial data

$$\mathbf{q}(0) = \mathbf{q}_0, \qquad \mathbf{p}(0) = \mathbf{p}_0.$$

These equations are Hamiltonian. They are defined by the following Hamiltonian function

$$H(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{p}|^2 - \frac{K^2}{r}. \tag{5.34}$$

Among symmetries admitted by the equations (5.33) there are

$$X_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \qquad X_1 = 3t\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 2q_i\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} - p_i\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i},$$

$$X_{ij} = -q_j\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} + q_i\frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} - p_j\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + p_i\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}, \qquad i \neq j,$$

$$Y_l = (2q_lp_k - q_kp_l - (\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})\delta_{lk})\frac{\partial}{\partial q_k}$$

$$+ \left(p_lp_k - (\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p})\delta_{lk} - \frac{K^2}{r^3}(q_lq_k - (\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q})\delta_{lk})\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}, \qquad l = 1,2,3,$$

where $(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}) = \mathbf{f}^T\mathbf{g}$ is scalar product of vectors.

The Hamiltonian function (5.34) is invariant for symmetries X_0 and X_{ij}. Theorem 2 makes it possible we find the first integral for symmetry X_0

$$I_1 = -H,$$

which represents the conservation of energy in Kepler motion. For symmetries X_{ij} we obtain the first integrals

$$I_{ij} = q_ip_j - q_jp_i, \qquad i \neq j,$$

which are components of the angular momentum

$$\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{p}. \tag{5.35}$$

Conservation of the angular momentum shows that the orbit of motion of a body lies in a fixed plane perpendicular to the constant vector $\mathbf{L}$. It also follows that in this plane the position vector $\mathbf{q}$ sweeps out equal areas in equal time intervals, so that the sectorial velocity is constant [4]. Therefore, Kepler's second law can be considered as a geometric reformulation of the conservation of angular momentum.

The scaling symmetry X_1 is not a Noether symmetry (neither variational, nor divergence symmetry) and does not lead to a conserved quantity.

For each of symmetries Y_l the Hamiltonian is divergence invariant with functions

$$V_l = q_l\left((\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}) + \frac{K^2}{r}\right) - p_l(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}), \qquad l = 1,2,3.$$

Hence, the operators Y_l yield the first integrals

$$I_l = q_l \left((\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}) - \frac{K^2}{r} \right) - p_l(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}), \qquad l = 1, 2, 3,$$

which are components of the Runge–Lenz vector

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{L} - \frac{K^2}{r}\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q} \left(H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{p}|^2 \right) - \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}). \tag{5.36}$$

Physically, vector $\mathbf{A}$ points along the major axis of the conic section determined by the orbit of the body. Its magnitude determines the eccentricity [13].

Let us note that not all first integrals are independent. There are two relations between them given by the equations

$$\mathbf{A}^2 - 2H\mathbf{L}^2 = K^4 \qquad \text{and} \qquad (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{L}) = 0.$$

The two-dimensional Kepler motion can be considered in a similar way. Let us remark that symmetries and first integrals of the two-dimensional Kepler motion can be obtained by restricting the symmetries and first integrals of the three-dimensional Kepler motion to the space (t, q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2). As the conserved quantities of the two-dimensional Kepler motion one obtains the energy

$$H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{p}|^2 - \frac{K^2}{r}, \qquad r = |\mathbf{q}|, \qquad \mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2), \quad \mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2),$$

one component of the angular momentum

$$L_3 = q_1 p_2 - q_2 p_1$$

and two components of the Runge–Lenz vector

$$A_1 = q_1 \left(H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{p}|^2 \right) - p_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}).$$

$$A_2 = q_2 \left(H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{p}|^2 \right) - p_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}).$$

There is one relation between these conserved quantities, namely

$$A_1^2 + A_2^2 - 2HL_3^2 = K^4.$$

Further restriction to the one-dimensional Kepler motion leaves only one first integral, which is the Hamiltonian function.

6 Conclusion

In the present paper we considered how invariance of the action functional can be related to first integrals of canonical Hamiltonian equations. The conservation properties of the canonical Hamiltonian equations were based on the newly established identity, which was called the Hamiltonian identity, and which can be viewed as a "translation" of the well-known Noether identity into Hamiltonian approach. This identity makes it possible to establish one-to-one correspondence between invariance of the Hamiltonian and first integrals of the canonical Hamiltonian equations (the strong version of Noether's theorem).

The variational consequences of the Hamiltonian identity make it possible to establish necessary and sufficient conditions for the canonical Hamiltonian equations to be invariant. This makes clear why not each symmetry of the Hamiltonian equations provides a first integral.

The Hamiltonian version of Noether's theorem, formulated in the paper, gives a constructive way to find first integrals of the canonical Hamiltonian equations once their symmetries are known. This simple method does not require integration as it was illustrated by a number of examples. In particular, we considered equations of Kepler motion in various dimensions. The presented approach gives a possibility to consider canonical Hamiltonian equations and find their first integrals without exploiting the relationship to the Lagrangian formulation (see, for example, [14]).

The discrete and semi-discrete versions of presented constructions will be considered elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

The V.D.'s research was sponsored in part by the Russian Fund for Basic Research under the research project no. 06-01-00707. The research of R.K. was partly supported by the Norwegian Research Council under contract no. 176891/V30.

References

[1] E.Noether, Invariante Variationsprobleme, Nachr. Konig. Gesell. Wissen., Gottingen, Math.-Phys. Kl., 1918, Heft **2**, 235-257.

[2] Abraham, Ralph; Marsden, Jerrold E. Foundations of mechanics. Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Advanced Book Program, Reading, Mass., 1978.

[3] Goldstein, Herbert Classical mechanics. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1980.

[4] V.I.Arnold, Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics, Springer, 2nd ed., 1985.

[5] L.V.Ovsyannikov, Group analysis of differential equations, Academic, New York, 1982.

[6] Olver, Peter J. Applications of Lie groups to differential equations. Second edition. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

[7] N.H.Ibragimov, Transformation Groups Applied to Mathematical Physics, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1985.

[8] G.Bluman, S.Kumei, Symmetries and differential equations, Springer, New York, 1989.

[9] E.Bessel-Hagen, Uber die Erhaltungssatze der Elektrodynamik. Math.Ann., 84 (1921), p.258-276.

[10] Gelfand, I. M.; Fomin, S. V. Calculus of variations. Revised English edition translated and edited by Richard A. Silverman Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1963

[11] J.Marsden, T.Ratiu, Introduction to mechanics and symmetry. A basic exposition of classical mechanical systems. Second edition. Texts in Applied Mathematics, 17. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.

[12] V.Dorodnitsyn, On the linearization of second-order differential and difference equations, Sigma, Vol.2 (2006), p. 065, nlin SI/0608038.

[13] Thirring, Walter 1978 *A course in mathematical physics. Vol. I. Classical dynamical systems* (New York-Vienna: Springer-Verlag)

[14] J.Struckmeier, C.Riedel, Noether's theorem and Lie symmetries for time-dependent Hamilton-Lagrange systems, Phys.Review E 66, 066605 (2002).